

The Kalb-Ramond field as a connection on a flat space time.

M. Botta Cantcheff¹

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas (CBPF)
Departamento de Teoria de Campos e Partículas (DCP)
Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud, 150 - Urca
22290-180 - Rio de Janeiro - RJ - Brazil.

Abstract

We obtain a (Abelian) two form field as a connection on a flat space-time and its corresponding field strength is canonically constructed.

1 Introduction.

The so-called (Abelian) Kalb-Ramond field [1, 2], $B_{\mu\nu}$, is a two-form field which appears in the low-energy limit of String Theory and in several other frameworks in Particle Physics [3]; for instance, most of the attempts to incorporate topological mass for the field theories in four dimensions take in account this object [4, 5]. Its dynamics is governed by an action which remains invariant under transformations whose form are extremely similar to those of a one-form gauge field [6]. This discussion is essential, for instance, to understand how the KR-field (which arises naturally in String Theory) must interact with the matter fields in a gauge invariant way and if it is associated to new conserved charges.

The Kalb-Ramond (KR) field transforms according to the following rule:

$$B_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow B_{\mu\nu} + \partial_{[\mu}\beta_{\nu]}, \quad (1)$$

where β_ν is a one-form parameter. The question is: may this be systematically generated from a group transformation? In other words, how can we associate the parameter β_μ to the manifold of some gauge group? [7, 8]. The main purpose of this letter is to provide an answer to this question, by completing the construction started in ref. [9], where a fundamental step in order to determine the structure associated with this symmetry was given: The gauge parameter, β , results to be a tensor product of an algebra element and a one-form, which needs to be *fixed*. This separability condition for the Lie parameter is somewhat unexpected, since it does not seem to be a restriction arising from the transformation properties of a KR-field. Here we shall show that, when one considers tensorial products of these groups, this condition is removed, the total KR-gauge parameter is no longer separable and the connection is a rank-two tensor field.

This work is organized as follows: in the Section 2, we construct a Lie group such that a two form field may be recovered from the connection; finally in Section 3, the covariant derivative and the Field strength for an Abelian Lie group are defined and the two-form field appears in the connection.

2 The group structure for a two-form connection.

Let us assume a four-dimensional oriented Minkowski space-time $(M, \eta_{\mu\nu} (\equiv \text{diag}[1, 1, 1, -1]))$ and some Lie group denoted by G whose associated algebra is $\mathcal{G}$; τ^a are the matrices representing the generators of group with $a = 1, \dots, \dim G$; τ_{abc} are the structure constants. Our gauge parameter is

$$\beta_\mu = \beta_\mu^a \tau^a \quad (2)$$

¹e-mail: botta@cbpf.br

Consider also a Dirac's spinor space $\mathcal{S} = \{\psi_I\}$ as a representation for G , where $I, J = 1..4$ denotes the spinor components².

As it has been argued in our previous article [9], this parameter needs to be separable: $\beta_\mu^a = \beta^a v_\mu$; where $v_\mu \in \Lambda_1$ is *fixed* and $\beta^a \in \mathcal{G}$. So, for each fixed direction in Λ_1 , one have a parametrization of the Lie group. A natural scalar (algebra valued), α , must be introduced and the general form of a group parameter is $\rho \equiv (\alpha^a I + \beta_\mu^a (= \beta^a v_\mu) \gamma^\mu) \tau^a$ for a v_μ fixed. $\{\gamma^\mu\}_{\mu=1}^4$ are the Dirac's matrices which satisfy the well known algebra: $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\}_{IJ} = \eta^{\mu\nu} I_{IJ}$ ³. The parameter ρ is an element of the Lie algebra: $\bar{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{G} \oplus \mathcal{G}$, the direct sum of two copies of $\mathcal{G}$ associated with the matrices I and $\not{v}$ respectively. The commutators algebra is given by:

$$[\rho, \rho'] = [\alpha, \alpha'] + [\beta, \beta'] v^2 + ([\alpha, \beta'] + [\beta, \alpha']) \not{v} \quad (3)$$

However, we do not need to repeat here the arguments of ref. [9] since, for our present aim, we will only use that

$$g(\alpha, \beta) = \exp i (\alpha^a + (\beta^a v_\mu) \gamma^\mu) \tau^a \quad (4)$$

is a well-defined (generic) representative of a element of the Lie group $\bar{G}$ which results from the exponentiation of $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$. In the Abelian case this simply reduces to $\bar{G}_{(v)} \sim G_{(I)} \circ G_{(\not{v})}$, the *composition* of two copies of G ⁴.

The question now is: how may we define the covariant derivative in such a way that the KR field be obtained in the connection? This is the main purpose of this letter.

Notice that the group formed by the collection of the elements (4) is not enough to recover the KR field from the corresponding connection: as usual, one would have a one-form connection $A_\mu^{(v)}$, associated with the direction v in the space-time; *however*, the transformation law (Abelian for simplicity) would be $\delta A_\mu^{(v)} = \partial_\mu \beta^{(v)}$. This reveals that $A_\mu^{(v)}$ is *not* a one-form but a component of a *rank-two* tensor field; since the gauge parameter $\beta^{(v)}$ is not a scalar but a component of a one form.

In addition, it is clear that a two-form may be thought as a *collection* of four one-forms; each one associated with an element of an orthonormal basis of the space-time, i.e

$$B_{\mu\nu} \sim \{B_\mu^\nu\}_{\underline{\mu}}. \quad (5)$$

The underlined $\underline{\mu}$ manifestly refers only to the component μ of a tensor (Ex. $\langle dx^\mu; V \rangle = V^\mu$ for a vector V), where $\{dx^\mu\}_{\underline{\mu}=0}^3$ is a cartesian (coordinate) basis for Λ_1 ⁵; furthermore, repetitions of these indices do not indicate summation over.

This point of view induces us to propose a group element as being a *tensor product* of (four) elements of the respective groups, $\bar{G}_{\underline{\mu}} \equiv \bar{G}_{v \equiv dx^\mu}$:

$$g \equiv \otimes_{\mu=1}^4 (g_{\underline{\mu}} \in G_{\underline{\mu}}), \quad (6)$$

where $g_{\underline{\mu}}$ is a well defined group element associated with the direction $dx^\mu \in \Lambda_1$, i.e

$$g_{\underline{\mu}} = \exp i (\alpha_{(\underline{\mu})}^a + \beta_{\underline{\mu}}^a \gamma^\mu) \tau^a, \quad (7)$$

where $\alpha_{(\underline{\mu})}$ is an algebra valued scalar parameter and $\beta_{\underline{\mu}} dx^\mu$ is a one form pointing in the direction of dx^μ ; if we define the generic one form: $\beta \equiv \sum_{\underline{\mu}=1}^4 \beta_{\underline{\mu}} dx^\mu$, we have $\beta_{\underline{\mu}} = \langle \beta; \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \rangle$ (where $\langle dx^\mu; \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \rangle = \delta_\nu^\mu$). We may denote this group as $G \sim \otimes_{\mu=1}^4 G_{\underline{\mu}}$.

²Actually, ψ_I is an N -component spinor, where N is the dimension of the group representation.

³Therefore $\{\gamma^i\}_{i=1}^3$ are hermitian while γ^4 is anti-hermitian; thus, if τ^a are hermitian and v is time-like, ρ^a must thus be anti-hermitian and α^a , purely imaginary numbers. The group manifold one is considering in this case is the one obtained from G by replacing the Lie parameter α by $i\alpha$. The unitarity or not of the groups is not determinant for our discussion; however, in order to avoid this type of considerations one may take an Euclidean space-time metric.

⁴We stand for $\bar{G}_{(v)}$, the group associated with the space-time direction, v .

⁵An *inertial* orthonormal frame on Minkowski space-time.

In what follows, we restrict to ourselves to Abelian groups. Since for general (non-Abelian) Lie groups some technical details must be particularly discussed, we shall analyze this separately.

Next, in order to re-express g in a more convenient form for algebraic manipulations, we need to define some extra structure. The ordering of the cartesian basis implies that we univocally may define the extensions

$$\Gamma^\mu \equiv \underbrace{I \otimes \dots \otimes I}_{\mu-1} \otimes \gamma^\mu \otimes \underbrace{I \otimes \dots \otimes I}_{4-\mu}, \quad (8)$$

where I is the identity on the spinor space $\mathcal{S}$. Notice that Γ^μ consists in inserting γ^μ in the position $\underline{\mu}$ between tensor products of the identities.

Thus, let us adopt the notation:

$$\beta_{\underline{\mu}} \Gamma^\mu \equiv \underbrace{I \otimes \dots \otimes I}_{\mu-1} \otimes \beta_{\underline{\mu}} \gamma^\mu \otimes \underbrace{I \otimes \dots \otimes I}_{4-\mu}, \quad (9)$$

then,

$$\beta_\mu \Gamma^\mu = \sum_{\underline{\mu}=1}^4 \beta_{\underline{\mu}} \Gamma^\mu \quad (10)$$

for an arbitrary one-form β_μ . Given an *ordered* basis of the space time, $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\}_{\mu=0}^3$ and a one form, β_μ , the map: $\beta_\mu \mapsto \beta_{\underline{\mu}} \Gamma^\mu$, is univocally defined. Let us define also the extended identity

$$\mathcal{I} \equiv \underbrace{I \otimes \dots \otimes I}_4. \quad (11)$$

Then, from (6) the generic group element may be written:

$$g(\alpha, \beta) = \exp i (\alpha \mathcal{I} + \beta_\mu \Gamma^\mu), \quad (12)$$

where, all the parameters $\alpha_{(\underline{\mu})}$ have been absorbed in a only scalar α ⁶.

A crucial property of these objects is the linear independence:

$$\alpha \mathcal{I} + \beta_\mu \Gamma^\mu = 0 \implies \alpha = \beta_\mu = 0. \quad (14)$$

3 The Abelian Kalb-Ramond Field as a Connection.

Due to (8) and the properties of the tensorial product, we get the commutation relations

$$[\Gamma^\mu, \Gamma^\nu] = 0, \quad (15)$$

where it is crucial to notice the position of γ^μ in the tensor product of eq. (8) determines the commutation relation above.

In the Abelian case, a group element may be separated as

$$g(\alpha, \beta) = \exp i \alpha \mathcal{I} \exp i \beta_\mu \Gamma^\mu. \quad (16)$$

The factor $e^{i\alpha}$ is not interesting for us because this generates the usual one form connection and the Maxwell field strength. Thus, for more simplicity, we drop it out from the construction presented below.

We define the covariant derivative as

$$\nabla_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu - i B_\mu \quad (17)$$

⁶This is verified by using the property of tensor products among the identities of the different spaces:

$$a \mathcal{I} = a I \otimes I \otimes I \otimes I = I \otimes a I \otimes I \otimes I = I \otimes I \otimes a I \otimes I = I \otimes I \otimes I \otimes a I = \mathcal{I} a \quad (13)$$

for all scalar a .

acting on a spinor $\Psi \in \otimes_\mu \mathcal{S}_{(\mu)} \equiv \mathcal{S}_4$. Thus, by assuming that under a gauge transformation $\Psi \rightarrow \Psi' = g(\beta)\Psi = e^{i\beta_\mu \Gamma^\mu} \Psi$, its covariant derivative transforms in according with $\nabla'_\mu \Psi' = g(\beta)\nabla_\mu \Psi$, and $\nabla'_\mu = \partial_\mu - iB'_\mu$, we get that the connection transforms according to

$$B'_\mu = e^{-i\beta_\nu \Gamma^\nu} (B_\mu + \partial_\mu \beta_\nu \Gamma^\nu) e^{i\beta_\nu \Gamma^\nu}. \quad (18)$$

Furthermore, for a coordinate basis in a flat space-time, we have the property $\partial_\mu(dx^\nu) = 0$, in virtue of this property and (15), we obtain the transformation law for the connection reduces to

$$B'_\mu - B_\mu = (\partial_\mu \beta_\nu) \Gamma^\nu \quad (19)$$

as expected.

This means that the manifest form of the connection is $B_\mu = B_{\mu\nu} \Gamma^\nu$, since it must be an object whose nature is preserved under gauge transformation. Of course, this connection may be decomposed in its symmetric and anti-symmetric part, as $b_{\mu\nu} \equiv B_{[\mu\nu]}$ and $G_{\mu\nu} \equiv B_{(\mu\nu)}$. We identify the Kalb Ramond gauge (Abelian) field with $b_{\mu\nu}$.

Now, we define the field strength for the B -connection as usual by :

$$[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] \Psi = -i \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \Psi; \quad (20)$$

we thus obtain

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = 2\partial_{[\mu} B_{\nu]}. \quad (21)$$

Using that

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho} \Gamma^\rho, \quad (22)$$

we get the final result:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho} = 2\partial_{[\mu} B_{\nu]\rho}. \quad (23)$$

It is very useful to define the totally antisymmetric part of this tensor, $H_{\mu\nu\rho} \equiv \mathcal{F}_{[\mu\nu\rho]}$, because the commonly studied Abelian gauge actions (for instance, the so-called Cremer-Sherk-Kalb-Ramond model[1, 4]) are quadratic in the dual of the field strength, $\epsilon^{\sigma\mu\nu\rho} \mathcal{F}_{2\mu\nu\rho} = \epsilon^{\sigma\mu\nu\rho} H_{\mu\nu\rho}$; notice also that H only involves derivatives of Kalb-Ramond field b , the antisymmetric component of B .

Finally, let us mention that this construction may be expressed in a more covariant notation: let us define the matrices

$$\Gamma_{(i)}^\mu \equiv \underbrace{I \otimes \dots \otimes I}_{i-1} \otimes \gamma^\mu \otimes \underbrace{I \otimes \dots \otimes I}_{4-i}, \quad (24)$$

which consists in inserting γ^μ in the position $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ (note that here, the vectorial index μ does not appear underlined since these matrices are not referred to specific basis elements). These matrices are manifestly independent of the reference system; under Lorentz transformations, they transform as: $\Gamma_{(i)}'^\mu = \Lambda_\nu^\mu \sigma(\Lambda) \Gamma_{(i)}^\nu \sigma^{-1}(\Lambda) = \Gamma_{(i)}^\mu$ (Pauli theorem), where $\sigma(\Lambda) \equiv S(\Lambda) \otimes S(\Lambda) \otimes S(\Lambda) \otimes S(\Lambda)$, and S is the usual unitary transformation induced by the Lorentz transformation (Λ_ν^μ) on the Dirac spinor space.

Notice that a group element (4), corresponds to the Lorentz transformed, $g^\Lambda(\alpha, \beta) = \exp i (\alpha^a + S(\beta^a v_\mu) \gamma^\mu) S^{-1} \tau^a$, in the representation $\mathcal{S}^\Lambda = \{S(\Lambda)\Psi / \Psi \in \mathcal{S}\}$.

On the other hand, given a particular orthonormal basis of the space-time $\{e_{(i)}^\mu\}_{i=1}^4$ (such that $\partial_\alpha e_{(i)}^\mu = 0$), there exists a unique way of writing an arbitrary one-form:

$$\beta_\mu = \sum_i \beta_\mu^{(i)} \quad (\Lambda^1 = \oplus_{(i)} \Lambda_{(i)}^1). \quad (25)$$

Thus, for all one form β_μ , the group parameter,

$$\mathcal{B} \equiv \sum_i \beta_\mu^{(i)} \Gamma_{(i)}^\mu, \quad (26)$$

is uniquely defined. This is a geometrical object which transforms as: $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \sigma \mathcal{B} \sigma^{-1}$.

The non-Abelian extension of this structure may be built up by following this thought line where supplementary one-form gauge fields must be introduced in the connection, a satisfactory fact in the context of duality and BF-type theories [10]. In a forthcoming paper, we are going to show this and to study diverse gauge theories including the coupling with fermionic matter that may naturally be constructed in this new framework [11].

Acknowledgements: The author is specially indebted to Alvaro L. M. A. Nogueira for many invaluable discussions, relevant comments and criticisms. Special thanks are due to Prof. A. Lahiri for pertinent and stimulating comments on the approach developed here. Prof. S. Alves Días is acknowledged for discussions and Prof. J. A. Helayel-Neto for clarifying technical questions on Group Theory and for the helpful corrections on the original manuscript.

References

- [1] M. Kalb and P. Ramond, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 2273 (1974).
- [2] D. Z. Freedman, CALT-68-624. K. Seo, M. Okawa and A. Sugamoto, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 3744 (1979).
D. Z. Freedman and P. K. Townsend, Nucl. Phys. B **177**, 282 (1981).
- [3] A. S. Schwarz, Lett. Math. Phys. **2**, 247 (1978).
A. S. Schwarz, Commun. Math. Phys. **67**, 1 (1979).
E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. **117**, 353 (1988).
D. Birmingham, M. Blau, M. Rakowski and G. Thompson, Phys. Rept. **209**, 129 (1991).
- [4] E. Cremmer and J. Scherk, Nucl. Phys. B **72**, 117 (1974).
- [5] A. Aurilia and Y. Takahashi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **66**, 693 (1981).
T. R. Govindarajan, J. Phys. G **8**, L17 (1982).
T. J. Allen, M. J. Bowick and A. Lahiri, Phys. Lett. B **237**, 47 (1990).
T. J. Allen, M. J. Bowick and A. Lahiri, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **6**, 559 (1991).
- [6] A. Lahiri, Mod. Phys. Lett. A17 (2002) 1643; J.Phys.A35 (2002) 8779, and references therein.
- [7] P.G.O. Freund and R.I. Nepomechie, Nucl. Phys. B199 (1982) 482.
- [8] C. Hofman, *Nonabelian 2 Forms*, e-Print Archive: hep-th/0207017.
- [9] M. Botta Cantcheff, *On the Group Structure of the Kalb-Ramond Gauge Symmetry.*, work submitted for publication.
- [10] M. Botta Cantcheff, Phys. Lett. B 533 (2002) 126 and Eur. Phys. Jour. C6 vol.4 (2002)1-14 (e-Print Archive: hep-th/0107123).
- [11] M. Botta Cantcheff and J. A. Helayel-Neto, work in progress.